

## Deborah.Easterling

---

**From:** Jocelyn.Boyd  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 24, 2013 5:59 PM  
**To:** Deborah.Easterling  
**Cc:** davido@sunstoresolar.com; pmlgrnlw@yahoo.com; brian.franklin@duke-energy.com; timika.shafeek-horton@duke-energy.com; libbysmith@comcast.net; Edwards, Nanette; Hudson, Shannon; chad.burgess@scana.com; Bholman@selcsc.org; davido@sunstoresolar.com  
**Subject:** FW: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

-----Original Message-----

From: Sierra Club [<mailto:information@sierraclub.org>] On Behalf Of Brian Graham  
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 5:08 PM  
To: Jocelyn.Boyd  
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Jocelyn Boyd  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Boyd,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

Solar energy is a powerhouse for South Carolina business development, boosting the entire state economy. If even 10,000 homes and businesses went solar, the energy cost savings alone would make them a showcase for the rest of the state.

Not surprisingly, established power monopolies like SCANA and Santee Cooper do not welcome solar power generation. They want only to "study" solar energy, not make it available for consumers-- a crucial difference. But isn't putting better ideas on the market what free enterprise is all about?

Let the market decide this issue, and permit South Carolina energy consumers to bring solar power savings to their business and household budgets. Clearly, solar power belongs to the people of South Carolina-- not to the few private power monopolies.

As a state rich in solar energy-- the envy of states farther north-- solar power is a major engine for South Carolina growth in the 21st century. Harvesting our solar abundance means more jobs, a stronger economy and a thriving new industry-- despite a lingering recession.

Today, solar products are ready for market, and local business is ready to deliver solar to us now-- a new dawn for a thriving South Carolina economy.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to accelerate public discussion of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

Only renewable energy gives the Carolinas a low and sustainable-cost energy future. All other approaches add CO2 to the already overburdened atmosphere, and/or poison the environment in the long term.

In every way, thinking about the long-term benefit of solar metering is wise planning. As climate begins to operate against us, and as population growth and industrial demands increase, we cannot blindly follow the narrow policies of decades past.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Graham  
1224 Deerwood St Apt A  
Columbia, SC 29205-4875  
(803) 738-8610

## Melissa.Purvis

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Brian Graham <led60891@sc.rr.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 24, 2013 5:09 PM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Randall  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Comer Randall  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Randall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

Solar energy is a powerhouse for South Carolina business development, boosting the entire state economy. If even 10,000 homes and businesses went solar, the energy cost savings alone would make them a showcase for the rest of the state.

Not surprisingly, established power monopolies like SCANA and Santee Cooper do not welcome solar power generation. They want only to "study" solar energy, not make it available for consumers-- a crucial difference. But isn't putting better ideas on the market what free enterprise is all about?

Let the market decide this issue, and permit South Carolina energy consumers to bring solar power savings to their business and household budgets. Clearly, solar power belongs to the people of South Carolina-- not to the few private power monopolies.

As a state rich in solar energy-- the envy of states farther north-- solar power is a major engine for South Carolina growth in the 21st century. Harvesting our solar abundance means more jobs, a stronger economy and a thriving new industry-- despite a lingering recession.

Today, solar products are ready for market, and local business is ready to deliver solar to us now-- a new dawn for a thriving South Carolina economy.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to accelerate public discussion of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

Only renewable energy gives the Carolinas a low and sustainable-cost energy future. All other approaches add CO2 to the already overburdened atmosphere, and/or poison the environment in the long term.

In every way, thinking about the long-term benefit of solar metering is wise planning. As climate begins to operate against us, and as population growth and industrial demands increase, we cannot blindly follow the narrow policies of decades past.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Graham  
1224 Deerwood St Apt A  
Columbia, SC 29205-4875  
(803) 738-8610

**Melissa.Purvis**

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Brian Graham <led60891@sc.rr.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 24, 2013 5:09 PM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Howard  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

John Howard  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Howard,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

Solar energy is a powerhouse for South Carolina business development, boosting the entire state economy. If even 10,000 homes and businesses went solar, the energy cost savings alone would make them a showcase for the rest of the state.

Not surprisingly, established power monopolies like SCANA and Santee Cooper do not welcome solar power generation. They want only to "study" solar energy, not make it available for consumers-- a crucial difference. But isn't putting better ideas on the market what free enterprise is all about?

Let the market decide this issue, and permit South Carolina energy consumers to bring solar power savings to their business and household budgets. Clearly, solar power belongs to the people of South Carolina-- not to the few private power monopolies.

As a state rich in solar energy-- the envy of states farther north-- solar power is a major engine for South Carolina growth in the 21st century. Harvesting our solar abundance means more jobs, a stronger economy and a thriving new industry-- despite a lingering recession.

Today, solar products are ready for market, and local business is ready to deliver solar to us now-- a new dawn for a thriving South Carolina economy.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to accelerate public discussion of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

Only renewable energy gives the Carolinas a low and sustainable-cost energy future. All other approaches add CO2 to the already overburdened atmosphere, and/or poison the environment in the long term.

In every way, thinking about the long-term benefit of solar metering is wise planning. As climate begins to operate against us, and as population growth and industrial demands increase, we cannot blindly follow the narrow policies of decades past.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Graham  
1224 Deerwood St Apt A  
Columbia, SC 29205-4875  
(803) 738-8610

## Melissa.Purvis

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Brian Graham <led60891@sc.rr.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 24, 2013 5:08 PM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Hall  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Nikiya Hall  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

Solar energy is a powerhouse for South Carolina business development, boosting the entire state economy. If even 10,000 homes and businesses went solar, the energy cost savings alone would make them a showcase for the rest of the state.

Not surprisingly, established power monopolies like SCANA and Santee Cooper do not welcome solar power generation. They want only to "study" solar energy, not make it available for consumers-- a crucial difference. But isn't putting better ideas on the market what free enterprise is all about?

Let the market decide this issue, and permit South Carolina energy consumers to bring solar power savings to their business and household budgets. Clearly, solar power belongs to the people of South Carolina-- not to the few private power monopolies.

As a state rich in solar energy-- the envy of states farther north-- solar power is a major engine for South Carolina growth in the 21st century. Harvesting our solar abundance means more jobs, a stronger economy and a thriving new industry-- despite a lingering recession.

Today, solar products are ready for market, and local business is ready to deliver solar to us now-- a new dawn for a thriving South Carolina economy.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to accelerate public discussion of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

Only renewable energy gives the Carolinas a low and sustainable-cost energy future. All other approaches add CO2 to the already overburdened atmosphere, and/or poison the environment in the long term.

In every way, thinking about the long-term benefit of solar metering is wise planning. As climate begins to operate against us, and as population growth and industrial demands increase, we cannot blindly follow the narrow policies of decades past.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Graham  
1224 Deerwood St Apt A  
Columbia, SC 29205-4875  
(803) 738-8610



## Nina.Gates

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Brian Graham <led60891@sc.rr.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 24, 2013 5:08 PM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Hamilton  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

G. O'Neal Hamilton  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hamilton,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

Solar energy is a powerhouse for South Carolina business development, boosting the entire state economy. If even 10,000 homes and businesses went solar, the energy cost savings alone would make them a showcase for the rest of the state.

Not surprisingly, established power monopolies like SCANA and Santee Cooper do not welcome solar power generation. They want only to "study" solar energy, not make it available for consumers-- a crucial difference. But isn't putting better ideas on the market what free enterprise is all about?

Let the market decide this issue, and permit South Carolina energy consumers to bring solar power savings to their business and household budgets. Clearly, solar power belongs to the people of South Carolina-- not to the few private power monopolies.

As a state rich in solar energy-- the envy of states farther north-- solar power is a major engine for South Carolina growth in the 21st century. Harvesting our solar abundance means more jobs, a stronger economy and a thriving new industry-- despite a lingering recession.

Today, solar products are ready for market, and local business is ready to deliver solar to us now-- a new dawn for a thriving South Carolina economy.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to accelerate public discussion of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

Only renewable energy gives the Carolinas a low and sustainable-cost energy future. All other approaches add CO2 to the already overburdened atmosphere, and/or poison the environment in the long term.

In every way, thinking about the long-term benefit of solar metering is wise planning. As climate begins to operate against us, and as population growth and industrial demands increase, we cannot blindly follow the narrow policies of decades past.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion

about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Graham  
1224 Deerwood St Apt A  
Columbia, SC 29205-4875  
(803) 738-8610

**Nina.Gates**

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Brian Graham <led60891@sc.rr.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 24, 2013 5:08 PM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Fleming  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Elizabeth Fleming  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Fleming,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

Solar energy is a powerhouse for South Carolina business development, boosting the entire state economy. If even 10,000 homes and businesses went solar, the energy cost savings alone would make them a showcase for the rest of the state.

Not surprisingly, established power monopolies like SCANA and Santee Cooper do not welcome solar power generation. They want only to "study" solar energy, not make it available for consumers-- a crucial difference. But isn't putting better ideas on the market what free enterprise is all about?

Let the market decide this issue, and permit South Carolina energy consumers to bring solar power savings to their business and household budgets. Clearly, solar power belongs to the people of South Carolina-- not to the few private power monopolies.

As a state rich in solar energy-- the envy of states farther north-- solar power is a major engine for South Carolina growth in the 21st century. Harvesting our solar abundance means more jobs, a stronger economy and a thriving new industry-- despite a lingering recession.

Today, solar products are ready for market, and local business is ready to deliver solar to us now-- a new dawn for a thriving South Carolina economy.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to accelerate public discussion of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

Only renewable energy gives the Carolinas a low and sustainable-cost energy future. All other approaches add CO2 to the already overburdened atmosphere, and/or poison the environment in the long term.

In every way, thinking about the long-term benefit of solar metering is wise planning. As climate begins to operate against us, and as population growth and industrial demands increase, we cannot blindly follow the narrow policies of decades past.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion

about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Graham  
1224 Deerwood St Apt A  
Columbia, SC 29205-4875  
(803) 738-8610

**Nina.Gates**

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Brian Graham <led60891@sc.rr.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 24, 2013 5:09 PM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Whitfield  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Swain Whitfield  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Whitfield,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

Solar energy is a powerhouse for South Carolina business development, boosting the entire state economy. If even 10,000 homes and businesses went solar, the energy cost savings alone would make them a showcase for the rest of the state.

Not surprisingly, established power monopolies like SCANA and Santee Cooper do not welcome solar power generation. They want only to "study" solar energy, not make it available for consumers-- a crucial difference. But isn't putting better ideas on the market what free enterprise is all about?

Let the market decide this issue, and permit South Carolina energy consumers to bring solar power savings to their business and household budgets. Clearly, solar power belongs to the people of South Carolina-- not to the few private power monopolies.

As a state rich in solar energy-- the envy of states farther north-- solar power is a major engine for South Carolina growth in the 21st century. Harvesting our solar abundance means more jobs, a stronger economy and a thriving new industry-- despite a lingering recession.

Today, solar products are ready for market, and local business is ready to deliver solar to us now-- a new dawn for a thriving South Carolina economy.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to accelerate public discussion of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

Only renewable energy gives the Carolinas a low and sustainable-cost energy future. All other approaches add CO2 to the already overburdened atmosphere, and/or poison the environment in the long term.

In every way, thinking about the long-term benefit of solar metering is wise planning. As climate begins to operate against us, and as population growth and industrial demands increase, we cannot blindly follow the narrow policies of decades past.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion

about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Graham  
1224 Deerwood St Apt A  
Columbia, SC 29205-4875  
(803) 738-8610